

# COMPLIANCE, THE SELF-MONITORING CHECKLIST, and MSIP

Federal Programs Fall Conference
 September 2005

# Outline

- Welcome
- Compliance
- The Self-Monitoring Checklist
- How Federal Programs support MSIP



#### MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION FEDERAL GRANTS MANAGEMENT

#### CONSOLIDATED FEDERAL PROGRAMS SELF-MONITORING CHECKLIST

2005 - 2006 School Year

School District	County-District Code
Federal Programs Coordinator	Date of MSIP review
Districts scheduled for an MSIP review during the 2005-20 Federal Grants Management, PO Box 46 MUST BE POSTMARKED BY FRI	80, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0480.
Directions	
Respond to each of the following monitoring requirements by plathe item. Responses are required for each lettered item, and district.  Place a checkmark in all appropriate boxes under Evidence Sou available. The documentation of evidence sources must be on fill copies of evidence sources to Federal Grants Management.  If you have any questions, call or email your Grants Management. For sample forms, program guidance, and other information, visit	documentation proving compilance must be kept on file at the proes to indicate the type of supporting documentation you hav le at the district for possible review during MSIP. Do not send at Supervisor for assistance.
DC = District Compilant: A review indicates compilance. When documentation readily available for review by Federa DR = District Resolving: A review indicates a compilance discripage 10 to explain how the district intends to resolve the DA = District Assistance: The district requests assistance. A su district to arrange for assistance.  NA = Not Applicable to this district.	al Grants Management, if requested. repancy. When using this code, use the comment section on discrepancy and the intended time frame for completion.
Title IX of the Education Amendment of 1972; Certifications R Responsibility Matters; and Gun-Free Schools Certification. The board-authorized representative understands hassurance applicant. The applicant will retund directly to the Department of funds made available to the applicant that may be determined by to have been misspent or otherwise misapplied.	th the intent of the approved application. It information as may be necessary for the fiscal program to filementary and Secondary Education any information it and regulations, the state rules governing the programs, and ghts Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 Regarding Lobbying, Debarment, Suspension and Other es and the responsibility for compliance placed upon the (Elementary and Secondary Education the amount of any the Department, or an Auditor representing the Department,
Authorized Representative	Date

MO 500-2336 (rev 07/05)



# **Code Key**

- DC = District Compliant: A review indicates compliance.
   When using this code, the district must have the documentation readily available for review by Federal Grants Management, if requested.
- DR = District Resolving: A review indicates a compliance discrepancy. When using this code, use the comment section on page 10 to explain how the district intends to resolve the discrepancy and the intended time frame for completion.
- DA = District Assistance: The district requests assistance. A supervisor from Federal Grants Management will contact the district to arrange for assistance.
- NA = Not Applicable to this district.

# The Monitoring Process

- Schedule visit for monitoring
- Follow-up letter to school district relating monitoring results
- Share results with MSIP team
- District must resolve noncompliance items
- If noncompliant items are not resolved, corrective actions will occur.

## **General Provisions**

1.	<b>Complaint Procedures</b>	for Federal Programs	(see DESE's same	nple on the web)

The district routinely disseminates to parents a copy of its complaint procedures for federal programs.

#### **Evidence Sources:**

- □ Newspaper
- □ Student Handbooks
- □ Newsletters

#### 2. Nonpublic Participation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 17-18 & 23-24)

(a) The district conducts timely consultation with nonpublic school officials in the project planning stages for Titles I, II. A, III, and IV. A.

#### **Evidence Source:**

- □ Completed *Public/Private Design for Educational Service*. Required signatures are dated prior to substantial approval of the federal programs application.
  - □ Completed Nonpublic Participation Forms for Titles I, II.A, III, & IV.A
  - □ Documentation of meetings with nonpublic school officials
- (b) The district expends or protects sufficient project funds for equitable services to eligible nonpublic schools.

#### **Evidence Sources:**

- □ Title I Breakdown of Allocation
- □ Budgets for Titles I, II. A, III, IV. A, reflect appropriate amounts for proposed nonpublic expenditures.

# Website

http://dese.mo.gov/divimprove/fedprog/grantmgmnt/



#### Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Home: Division of School Improvement: Federal Programs: Grants Management

#### **Grants Management**

Some of the following files are in PDF format. A note about downloading PDF's.



#### **DESE Web Login Request Forms**

#### Federal Programs Conference

September 27-29, 2005 Tan-Tar-A Resort, Osage Beach Conference Brochure

#### Submissions for Parent Involvement Activities

#### Consolidated Application

- Consolidated Application
- Application Instructions
- Administrative Manual Updated-8/12/05 Page 52
- Local Education Agency (LEA) Plan

#### Parental Involvement

- Federal Programs Required Notification
- Parental Notification Letters
- School Parental Involvement Plan
  - School-Parent-Student Compact

2004-05 Nonpublic Workshop Presentation (09-2004)

> NCLB Q & A (08-2004)

Impact of the new Title I Requirements on Charter Schools (08-2004)

Grants in Education Presentation (08-05-04)

ParaPro Assessment Instructions (09-12-03)

School Improvement Schedule (6-01-03)

#### 2005 Grant Application Forms

Title I Part A Nonpublic Consultation & Part. Form

Title I Part A Neglected School Children Form

Title I Part D Delinquent School Children Form

Title I Part D Delinquent Institution Program Plan

Title II & IV Non-Public Participation Form

Title III Part A Non-Public Participation Form

#### No Child Left Behind

No Child Left Behind
Legislation and Guidance

#### Publications and Forms

- Title I Preschool
- 2005 Summer School Plan
- Complaint Resolution Procedures
  - Draft Report Card
- Federal Programs Calendar of "Things to Do"
  - 2005-2006 Self-Monitoring Checklist
    - Single-Funding Certification
    - Student Selection-Multiple Criteria
      - Principles of Effectiveness
- Sample Letter "Parents Right to Know" Requirement
  - Public/Private Design for Education Service
    - Sample Equipment Inventory
      - Coding Guide
- NCLB-Benefits Private School Students & Teachers
- Understanding Your Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

# **Grant Application Forms**



#### 2005 Grant Application Forms

- Title I Part A Nonpublic Consultation & Part. Form
  - Title I Part A Neglected School Children Form
  - Title I Part D Delinquent School Children Form
  - Title I Part D Delinquent Institution Program Plan
    - Title II & IV Non-Public Participation Form
      - Title III Part A Non-Public Participation Form

#### No Child Left Behind

- No Child Left Behind
- Legislation and Guidance

3.	<b>Obligation of Funds</b> (see <i>Administrative Manual – July 2005</i> , p. 1	2)
	a. The district provides documentation that funds are obligated (purchase orders made or services contracted) only between the	
	time of project approval and the end of the grant period.	
	<ul><li>b. Application Approval Date for 2005-2006 School Year (see Internet Application)</li></ul>	
	Title I First Obligation Date	
	Title I. C Migrant Ed. First Obligation Date	
	Title II. A First Obligation Date Title II. D First Obligation Date Title III First Obligation Date	
	Title II. D First Obligation Date	
	Title III First Obligation Date	
	Title IV. A First Obligation Date	
	Title IV. A First Obligation Date Title V First Obligation Date Title VI. B Subpart 2 First Obligation Date	
	Title VI. B Subpart 2 First Obligation Date	—

### August 2005

		40	ly 2	005		
8	M	1	W	T	#	8
					1	2
2	4	- 5	. 6	7		9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

	- 5	ерте	mbe	W 20	05	
8	M	T	W	- 7	F	- 8
				1	2	3
4	3	6	7	. 8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
10	19	20.	21	22	23	24
25	25	27	78	29	30	

MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SATURDAY
1	2	3	4	5	6
8	9	10	11	12	13
15	16	17	18	19	20
22	23	24	25	26	27
	1 8 15	<ul> <li>1</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ul>	1     2       8     9       15     16       17	1     2     3     4       8     9     10     11       15     16     17     18	1     2     3     4     5       8     9     10     11     12       15     16     17     18     19

- **4. Accounting Requirements** (see *Administrative Manual July 2005*, p. 14)
  - a. Obligations and expenditures of state and federal funds are recorded separately by program.
- 5. Documentation Related to Payment of District Staff (see *Administrative Manual July 2005*, p. 16)

The Core Data Program Code and caseloads are correct for FTEs and consistent with the application.

There is documentation of time for partial FTEs, including those funded through Administrative Pool.

#### **Evidence Sources:**

Time and effort logs

Work schedules

c. For stipends and out-of-contract time paid, including Administrative Pool, the district maintains supporting records.

#### **Evidence Sources:**

Workshop sign-in sheets

Time and effort logs

d. The district biannually certifies that all full-time staff funded from a single federal funding source or from an established funding pool (such as the administrative pool) are conducting activities consistent with the purposes of the funding source(s).

#### **Evidence Source:**

Single Funding Certification form(s) completed and on file in the district (for Schoolwide programs with combined funds: a list of building staff signed by the building principal)

accounts for all equipme b. Capital outlay purcha c. District labels all inve	ry control system, includi ent purchased with feder ses are consistent with th	ng all required components, all dollars.
(see <i>Administrative Mar</i>	<i>nual – July 2005</i> , p. 9)	ol Improvement Plan (CSIP)
<ul> <li>a. The activities of all fe</li> <li>The district indicates the applicable, for each rele</li> </ul>	e source of federal fundir	cted in the board-approved CSIP.  ng, whether in whole or in part, as
Evidence	Sources:	
<ul><li>□ Title I</li><li>□ Title I. C</li><li>□ Title II. A</li><li>□ Title II. D</li></ul>	□ Title IV. A	□ SRSA (REAP)
8. Reporting Requirements	;	

All items required by federal legislation are reported to the public.

Evidence Sources:

□ District Report Card	□ Newsletters
□ Newspaper articles	Other:

#### Title I. A: Improving the Academic Achievement of the Disadvantaged

I.	LEA Plan (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 20)  a The district has an approved LEA Plan and implements activities according to the plan.  b The plan has been revised to indicate changes, as needed.  Evidence Sources:  LEA Plan (and any revisions)  Notes from planning sessions addressing needs assessment and goal setting
2	Schoolwide Planning (see <i>Administrative Manual – July 2005</i> , pp. 27-29)  a Each building with a schoolwide plan implements the activities contained in the plan.
	b Any changes have been amended into the plan.
	c (if applicable) Schoolwide buildings with significant number of students from other language backgrounds have made the plan available to parents of such students in the parents' primary language.
1	Student Eligibility in Targeted Assistance Programs (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 24-26)
	<ul> <li>Student eligibility is determined by multiple, educationally-related, objective criteria. (Criteria in preschool through grade two programs must consist solely of teacher recommendation, parental interviews, developmentally appropriate measures, or other similar criteria).</li> </ul>
	b Master lists of eligible students are available that indicate which students have been selected to participate; the criteria used for selection, weighting of criteria, and appropriate overall cut-off score.
	<ul> <li>Migrant or Limited English Proficient (LEP) children have been identified for services on the same basis as other children.</li> </ul>
1	Annual Evaluation Process (see <i>Administrative Manual – July 2005</i> , p. 41) The district conducts an annual review of the Title I-funded activities.
	a Appropriate representation of school personnel f Review of program strengths and weaknesses b Agenda Documentation of recommendations and revisions
	c. Sign-in sheet from attendees h. Buildings have been notified regarding their Adequate d. Review of student achievement data Yearly Progress (AYP) status
	e Review of parents' evaluations

5.	Coordination (see <i>Administrative Manual – July 2005</i> , p. 30) Written documentation indicates that coordination occurs between Title I teachers and classroom teachers through: a Shared instructional objectives. b One or more of the following: joint planning time, shared lesson plans, quarterly objective sheets, individual student plans, Comprehensive School improvement Plan (CSIP) or Schoolwide Plan. c Evidence to demonstrate coordination among teachers in Migrant Education, LEP, Homeless, Neglected, and Delinquent services.
6.	Parents Right to Know (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. pp. 36-37)  a Parents of each student attending a school receiving Title I funds have been notified that they may request information regarding the professional qualifications of the student's classroom teachers.  Evidence Sources: Newsletters Handbooks  b Parents have been notified when their child's teacher is not properly certified for that class or has been taught four or more consecutive weeks by a teacher who is not fully or properly certified for that class.  Evidence Source: Letter(s) notifying parents
7.	District-Level Parent Involvement Policy (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 38)  a The district has a parent involvement policy, and activities are conducted consistent with the policy.  b For districts with allocations over \$500,000, one percent of the allocation is budgeted for parent involvement.
8.	Building-Level Parent Involvement Plan (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 38-40)  Each building receiving Title I funds must have a plan for parent involvement that includes:  a Strategies for involvement  b Description of how the school compact was developed and its purpose  c Strategies for shared responsibility for high student academic achievement  d Expanding opportunities for parent involvement  e Buildings with significant numbers of students from language backgrounds other than English have made the plan available to parents of such students in the parents' primary language.

9.	Building-Level Implementation of Parent Involvement Plan (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 38) a The district is using one percent of its allocation for parent involvement (if applicable, see 7. b). b The parent involvement plan and parent-school compacts are disseminated. c Parent meetings, activities, and/or workshops are held, with sign-in sheets for each event.  Evidence Sources:  Agendas, minutes of meetings Parent survey Completed sign-in forms News reports, etc Copies of correspondence to parents, flyers, etc.
10.	Personnel (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 33 - 36)  The district provides evidence that: a Proper credentials and certificates are on file with the district. b Caseloads as listed on class rosters are appropriate for instructional staff. c Teachers and paraprofessionals' schedules reflect the number of appropriate instructional minutes for staff and students.
	d Building Principal(s) certifies that building staff are highly qualified and/or lists exceptions
11.	Breakdown of Allocation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 20 - 21)  The district provides evidence that each eligible building receives the allocated amount of funds and in the order indicated on the approved Breakdown of Allocation (BOA).  a Current building-level budgets and expenditures correspond to BOA.  Evidence Source:  Bookkeeping record of related expenditures
	b For districts with allocations over \$500,000, one percent of the allocation is budgeted for parent involvement.

.

12.	Targeted Usage (see <i>Administrative Manual – July 2005</i> , p. 27) Materials, equipment, and facilities funded by Title I are used according to regulations and guidelines. a in a Targeted Assistance program, Title I-funded materials and facilities are used only by participating students.
13.	Preschool Education Programs (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp.30 – 33)  a District follows one of the recommended models for its Title I-funded preschool. Check model being used:    Head Start Education and Early Childhood Development Standards   Project Construct Cumiculum Model   High/Scope Cumiculum Model   Creative Cumiculum Model
14.	Neglected and Delinquent Institutions (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 51-53)  a The district's application for Neglected or Delinquent funds is on file and describes the program to be implemented along with relevant formal agreements between the district and institutions to be served.  Evidence Sources:  Part 1-A Neglected School Children form Part 1-D Delinquent Institution Program Assurance form Part 1-D Delinquent Institution Program Plan
15.	Buildings In Title I. A School improvement (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 41 - 42) a The district can demonstrate that at least 10 percent of the building's allocation has been allocated for professional development following a building's failure to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its identification for School improvement. Funding must address the area(s) in which each building has been found to be deficient.  Evidence Sources:  BOA and Title I application Inservice sign-in sheets Records of expenditures  The district has notified parents of their school choice option and School improvement status.  Evidence Source:  Letter to parents  The district has notified parents of their Supplemental Educational Services options (for buildings in second year of
	Improvement and each subsequent year).  Evidence Source:  Letter to parents

#### Title I. C: Migrant Education Program

1.	Eligibility (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 45) a Priority for services is given to migrant children most at risk of falling to meet the Show-Me Standards and whose education has been interrupted during the regular year.
2	Parent Involvement (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 49 – 50)
	<ul> <li>The district provides documentation of appropriate consultation with a Parent Advisory Council in the planning,</li> </ul>
	implementation, and evaluation of the migrant project.
	<ul> <li>The district involves migrant parents in policy development, parent-school compacts, and capacity building activities.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The district provides evidence that information is provided in a language and form that parents understand.</li> </ul>
	Evidence Sources:
	☐ Meeting minutes
	Sign-in sheets from policy, planning, and capacity building meetings
	Flyers, announcements, letters to parents in their native language (if appropriate)

#### Title II. A: Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting

1.	Implementation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 56 – 57)  a Use of funds is consistent with program guidelines, application budget, and CSIP to increase academic student achievement by improving teacher and principal quality through high quality professional development and to increase the number of highly qualified teachers and principals.
2	Professional Development Needs Assessment (see <i>Administrative Manual – July 2005</i> , pp. 56 – 57) The district maintains documentation that:
	<ul> <li>A needs assessment for professional development was conducted within the last three years with input from public and nonpublic staff, including Title I-funded teachers.</li> </ul>
	Professional development decisions were based on student achievement data.     A CSIP/district professional development plan reflects the needs assessment findings.
	Evidence Sources:  Educator surveys results
	☐ Minutes of professional development committee meetings ☐ Administrator assessments of teacher performance
	Other student data
3	Hiring Highly Qualified Teachers (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 57) All teachers employed by the district are properly certified for their grade level/subject area.
	The district has hired additional teachers to reduce class size.     The district has hired additional teachers for core academic subjects.
	Evidence Sources:  Proper credentials and certificates are on file
	Board minutes or other report of student-teacher ratios and achievement data
4	Nonpublic Participation (see General Provisions, Item 2)

### Title II. D: Enhancing Education Through Technology

l.	Professional Development (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 59)
	a The district can demonstrate that it has spent at least 25 percent of the total funds available for professional
	development that addresses the use of technology in instruction.
	Evidence Sources: Sign-in sheets from trainings List of expenditures
	Evidence Sources: Sign-in sheets from trainings List of expenditures



1.		Intation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 61-68) Use of funds is consistent with program guidelines, application budget, and CSIP to meet the guidelines of the program.
2.	LEP Cen	sus (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 61) Districts are required each October to complete and submit online to DESE the LEP Census. Districts are also required to submit information regarding the number of immigrant students. These reported enrollment numbers are used in the Title III allocation formula.  Evidence Source:  LEP Census data
1	3. Studer a	nt Enrollment (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 61)  Any school aged child residing within the boundaries of a school district is eligible to attend the appropriate local school. A school district may require only two kinds of information for enrollment, (A) proof of residency in the district (not in the U.S.), including legal guardianship for students under the age of 18, or (B) proof of required vaccinations.  Evidence Source:  Student Enrollment forms

4.	Personnel (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p.66-67) a Teachers in any Title III language instructional program are fluent in English and any other languages used for instruction, and have good written and oral communication skills.  Evidence Source:  Signed statement from building principal certifying that teacher is fluent in English and any other language used for instruction
5.	Parent Notification (see **Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 65) a The district has notified parents of a limited English proficient child within 30 days after the beginning of a school year (two weeks for a child entering school after the year has started) of the reason their child has been identified to participate in an LEP program, specifics of the program, and parents' rights.  b Information presented to parents is provided in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable in a language that the parent can understand.  Evidence Sources:  Examples of notification to parents.  List of participating students
6.	Parent Recommendations (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. a Administrators, Title I teachers, and LEP teachers meet at least annually to consider and respond to parent recommendations.  Evidence Sources:  Meeting agendas and minutes of meetings List of attendees
7.	Nonpublic Participation (see General Provisions, Item 2)

#### Title IV. A: Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities

۱	Implementation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, pp. 70 - 74)
	<ul> <li>Use of funds is consistent with program guidelines, application budget, and CSIP to meet the guidelines of the</li> </ul>
	program.
2	Consultation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 70)
	<ul> <li>The district provides evidence of ongoing consultation with State and local government representatives,</li> </ul>
	representatives of schools to be served (including nonpublic), teachers and other staff, parents, students, community-
	based organizations, and others with relevant expertise in drug and violence prevention activities (such as medical,
	mental health, and law enforcement professionals) regarding how best to coordinate activities with other related
	strategies, programs, and activities being conducted in the community.
	Evidence Sources:
	☐ Dated agendas
	Meeting sign-in sheets indicating group affiliation
	Written recommendations (minutes from meetings)
	Dissemination of drug use and violence prevention information through pamphiets, brochures, etc.

3.	Activities Development and Assessment (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 70)
	<ul> <li>The district blennially conducts and analyzes the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (SDFSC) survey     and/or alternative data.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The district and its advisory group annually evaluate the overall effectiveness of the Title IV. A activities as related to the six Principles of Effectiveness from the United States Department of Education.</li> </ul>
	c Title IV. A funded activities are based on drug and violence assessment results and the six Principles of Effectiveness.  Evidence Sources:  Survey Results
	☐ Discipline and expulsion data ☐ Advisory Council meeting minutes
4.	Activity implementation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 73) a No more than 40 percent of the district's Title IV. A funds are being used for security personnel, of which no more than half is used for other security purposes (equipment). b Purchases relate to allowable uses and follow the guidance of the Principles of Effectiveness. (No purchase of give-away Items.)
5.	Parent/Community Involvement (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 70) a The district includes activities to facilitate input from parents and involve parents and community.  Evidence Sources:    Parent education training/workshops/inservice/classes   Letters to parents   Schedules of parent education activities   Flyers   Sign-In sheets from parent education activities   Media announcements, articles   Questionnaires or surveys from parents and/or the community
6.	Public Reporting (see Administrative Manual, - July 2005 p. 74):  a The district makes available to the public a report of its progress toward attaining the objectives specified in the CSIP Evidence Sources:  Letters to parents Information in school newspaper Other: Please describe:
7.	Nonpublic Participation (see General Provisions, Item 2)



### Title V.A: Innovative Programs

1.	systematic Consultation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 77)
	a The district has consulted with parents and appropriate district staff in a needs assessment and planning for
	effective use of funds.
	Evidence Sources:
	Agenda for meeting
	☐ Meeting sign-in sheets indicating person's role or title
	☐ Written recommendations (in meeting minutes)
2.	Annual Evaluation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 77)
	a The district conducts an annual review that includes use of funds, students served, program strengths and
	weaknesses, and how student academic achievement was affected. The evaluation is used to make decisions about
	changes for use of funds for the next school year.
	Evidence Sources:
	Agenda, meeting minutes
	Usage records
	Survey or other documentation

### Title VI. Part B: Rural Low Income

1.	Implementation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 79)  a Use of funds is consistent with program guidelines, application budget, and CSIP to increase student achievement, reduce dropout rate, or attain other school improvement goals.  Evidence Sources:  CSIP Record of expenditures
	Title VI.B Rural Education Initiative/Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP)
1.	Implementation (see Administrative Manual – July 2005, p. 79) a Funds are used within the Federal program's guidelines and are noted in the district CSIP.  Evidence Sources:  CSIP Record of expenditures

KEY: DC = District Compliant DR = District Resolving (details required)

DA = District Assistance NA = Not Applicable to this district

Comments for items indicated "DR" – Please include plan and time frame for resolution, and
indicated programs and items not yet resolved:

# MSIP

- Self-monitoring checklist results are reflected in MSIP report.
- Noncompliance items result in a concern that will be included in the MSIP report.



• Questions ?

■ Thank you.